FCC Received July 23, 1993 @ 9:18 a.m.

## **ORIGINAL**

	Non.
1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
2	Before the
3	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554
. 4	washington, biol 2000;
5	IN THE MATTER OF: MM DOCKET NO. 93-127
6	
7	JANICE M. SCANTLAND
8	ROBERT G. CASAGRANDE
9	Richwood, Ohio
10	RECEIVED
11	JUL 2 8 1993
12	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
13	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
14	
15	; <u>.</u>
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	DATE OF CONFERENCE: July 13, 1993 VOLUME: 1
25	PLACE OF CONFERENCE: Washington, D.C. PAGES: 1-8

1	Before the
2	Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554 JUL 28 1993
3	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
4	)
5	In the matter of: ) MM DOCKET NO. 93-127
6	JANICE M. SCANTLAND
7	ROBERT G. CASAGRANDE
8	Richwood, Ohio
9	The above-entitled matter came on for prehearing
10	conference pursuant to notice before Judge Arthur I. Steinberg, Administrative Law Judge, at 2000 L Street, N.W.,
11	Washington, D.C., in Courtroom No. 3, on Tuesday, July 13, 1993 at 9:00 a.m.
12	
	APPEARANCES:
13	
14	On behalf of Janice M. Scantland:
15	DENNIS F. BEGLEY, Esquire Reddy, Begley and Martin
16	1001 22nd Street, N.W. Suite 350
17	Washington, D.C. 20037
18	On behalf of Robert G. Casagrande:
19	GENE BECHTEL, Esquire
20	Bechtel and Cole, Chartered 1901 L Street, N.W.
21	Suite 250 Washington, D.C. 20036
22	On behalf of the Mass Media Bureau:
23	NORMAN GOLDSTEIN, Esquire
24	2025 M Street, N.W. Suite 7212
25	Washington, D.C. 20554

1	I N D E	<u>X</u>			
2					
3			PAC	<u>SE</u>	
4	Opening Statement by Judge Steinber	g	:	3	
5	Statement by Mr. Begley		4	1	
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25	CONFERENCE BEGAN: 9:03 a.m.	CONFERENCE	ENDED:	9:11	a.m.

<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>

1

JUDGE STEINBERG: We're on the record. This is a

prehearing conference in MM Docket Number 93-127 involving

mutually exclusive applications for a construction permit for

a new FM station in Richwood, Ohio. The case was designated

for hearing on May 5, 1993. By order released May 11, 1993,

the chief administrative law judge assigned the case to me and

set the date of the hearing for September 21-1993. On May

I have is a petition to enlarge issues filed on June 4, '93 by 2 Scantland, a supplement thereto filed on June 11th, and 3 erratum to the supplement filed on June 15th. Then there was an opposition to petition to enlarge issues filed on July 6, 5 1993 by Casagrande and yesterday -- and Mr. Bechtel probably doesn't have it yet -- there was a motion for leave to file 7 erratum to the opposition and then erratum which was filed by Casagrande. A reply to the opposition is presently due to be 9 filed on July 16, 1993 and I just want to say that whenever 10 the pleading cycle is over and I get a chance to do it, I'll 11 do it and issue a ruling. 12

MR. BEGLEY: If I could interrupt you, Your Honor, I may be able to make this a little briefer than it need be. We have settled the case. We have reached a tentative settlement yesterday. We haven't drawn up the papers as of yet, but the settlement calls for the reimbursement of Mr. Casagrande by Mrs. Scantland. We are preparing the papers right now and hope to have them on file with you within I'd say a week at the most. So for that reason, we'd like to request a deferral of procedural dates at this time to give us some time to get the motion on file.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then there is one remaining matter. We have a site issue that is still pending against us and we also hope to be filing for motions for summary decision on that within the next week.

1	JUDGE STEINBERG: Now, I granted you motion for
2	summary decision of the FAA issue.
3	MR. BEGLEY: That's correct.
4	JUDGE STEINBERG: And then the cite issue if I
5	remember, didn't you file an amendment and I accepted it?
6	MR. BEGLEY: Yes.
7	JUDGE STEINBERG: And then and so okay.
8	MR. BEGLEY: But I haven't filed a motion for
9	summary decision and I'm waiting for a declaration to come
10	back from the sale.
11	JUDGE STEINBERG: I guess that does kind of short
12	circuit everything. Any objection to that?
13	MR. GOLDSTEIN: No. Your Honor still has to rule on
14	the petitions to enlarge. I don't know if you want to have a
15	reply
16	JUDGE STEINBERG: No, because they're against Mr.
17	Casagrande.
18	MR. GOLDSTEIN: It wasn't reciprocal?
19	JUDGE STEINBERG: No. And Mr. Casagrande's
20	apparently dismissing I was prepared today to rule on the
21	Casagrande motion for leave to amend, but I guess if I don't
22	have to issue a ruling, I won't.
23	MR. GOLDSTEIN: I would just urge you to read the
24	opposition for the pleasure of reading.
25	JUDGE STEINBERG: Let me and I've said this

```
|before. I don't usually read the petitions to enlarge until I |
   have to rule on them because I don't want to -- I don't want
   to fill brain cells that don't need to be filled at the
   moment.
              MR. GOLDSTEIN: When the case is settled. I thought
   it was an interesting opposition. Forget the substance of it.
 6
 7
              JUDGE STEINBERG: Maybe I'll -- maybe I'll read it
 8
   when we finish. Okay, so why don't we do this? What's July
    16th -- anybody have a calendar? What's two weeks from July
10
    16th?
11
             MR. GOLDSTEIN:
                             July 30th.
12
             MR. BEGLEY: July 30th, right.
13
              JUDGE STEINBERG: Let me -- let me -- basically on
```

my own motion -- I don't want to suspend all the procedural

14

1	JUDGE STEINBERG: tentatively July 20th and 21st,
2	but that's between you two. You can
3	MR. BEGLEY: Right. There was also another matter
4	that we were working on that has a 15-day requirement running
5	on it.
6	JUDGE STEINBERG: What was that?
7	MR. BEGLEY: A motion to enlarge and I'd rather, of
8	course, not file that.
9	JUDGE STEINBERG: You mean you have another motion
10	to enlarge?
11	MR. BEGLEY: Another motion to enlarge, yeah.
12	JUDGE STEINBERG: Well
13	MR. BEGLEY: And I'd like to put that off until
14	August. I, of course, don't expect that it would be
15	necessary, but I don't want to be sandbagged if in the
16	event that some it doesn't go forward.
17	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, so basically okay,
18	basically let me suspend the procedural dates until July 30th.
19	But you feel confident that you can file the papers within a
20	week or so.
21	MR. BEGLEY: Absolutely. I do.
22	MR. GOLDSTEIN: The summary decisions also?
23	MR. BECHTEL: This weekend.
24	MR. GOLDSTEIN: The summary decision and motion
25	also, do you think?

	1 MR. BEGLEY: I hope so. The declaration is out
$\smile$	2 there. I'm waiting for it to come back any day now and I'll
	3 do my best to get it in within a week. Unless the mails mess
	4 me up somehow.
	JUDGE STEINBERG: So July 30th to allow for the
	Carlina - Caba and lamand - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
<b>π</b>	
Ц	
-	
) <u> </u>	
_	
1	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
·	
1 <sub>1</sub>	<u> </u>
<del>-</del>	
_	
- t-	

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

99	RICHWOOD, OHIO	
Name		
MM DOCKET NO. 93	3-127	
Docket No.		
WASHINGTON, D.C.	•	
Place		
JULY 13, 1993		
Date		
pages, numbers true, accurate a reporting by the above identi provisions of the professional ver Work and have vecomparing the tyrecording accompainal proofed ty	gned, do hereby certify that the interest through 8 , inclusion and complete transcript prepared in MARYKAE FLEISHMAN in infied proceeding, in accordance with the current Federal Communications robatim reporting and transcription arified the accuracy of the transcription of the transcription of the transcript against the plished at the proceeding and (2) ypewritten transcript against the plished at the proceeding.	lve, are the from the attendance at ith applicable Commission's a Statement of cript by (1) reporting or comparing the
July 20, 1993  Date	Marykae Fleishman Tran	A scriber
	Pres State Reporting, Inc.	Acriber Streader